From: Distler, Kenneth

To: Razzazian, Christopher; Matichuk, Rebecca

Subject: FW: Revised Background WC

Date: Friday, May 03, 2013 8:00:00 AM

fyi

From: Rombough, Kyrik [mailto:Kyrik.Rombough@state.sd.us]

Sent: Friday, May 03, 2013 7:08 AM

To: Ronn Smith (rsmith@imlinc.com); Distler, Kenneth; 'Werling, Bradley A.' (bradley.werling@swri.org);

John Mays (jmays@powertechuranium.com); Brian.Gustafson@state.sd.us

Subject: FW: Revised Background WC

A small correction to the background concentration. The three year averages were correct.

From: Schultz, Brad

Sent: Thursday, May 02, 2013 4:55 PM

To: Rombough, Kyrik

Subject: FW: Revised Background WC

Yes, I did put the three year average for the 2011, 2^{nd} maximum. Sorry about the mistake. I made the change below in Red.

From: Schultz, Brad

Sent: Monday, April 29, 2013 1:19 PM

To: Rombough, Kyrik

Subject: Revised Background WC

As pointed out the Wind Cave PM10 data highest concentrations were impacted by control burns completed by the National Park Service. The individual days in 2009 and 2010 are not expected to reoccur because the burns included all of the forest/grass land mix by the air monitoring site and may not be burned again for a long period of time. All the days associated in 2009 from September 3 to September 6 and in 2010 from October 20 to October 23 were flagged by DENR as 24-hour samples effected by exceptional event.

The second maximum for the years used for background levels after removing the days affected by the control burns are as follows:

2008 47 ug/m32009 49 ug/m32010 27 ug/m32011 30 ug/m3

Three year average using 2008, 2009 and 2010 would be 41 ug/m3. Three year average using 2009, 2010 and 2011 would be 35 ug/m3.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Brad Schultz ES Manager I 605-773-6038